Washington Elementary School

2300 Martin Luther King Jr. Way ● Berkeley, CA 94704 ● (510) 644-6310 ● Grades K-5
Katia Hazen, Principal
katiahazen@berkeley.net

2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



Berkeley Unified School District

2020 Bonar Street Berkeley, CA 94702 (510) 644-6206 www.berkeleyschools.net

District Governing Board

Beatriz Leyva-Cutler, President
Ty Alper, Vice President
Karen Hemphill, Clerk
Judy Appel
Josh Daniels

District Administration

Donald Evans, Ed.D.

Superintendent

Donald Evans

Superintendent

Javetta Cleveland **Deputy Superintendent**

Pasquale Scuderi
Assistant Superintendent
Educational Services

Delia Ruiz
Assistant Superintendent
Human Resources

Principal's Message

We are proud to present our annual report for the 2015-16 year. We publish this report every year to share important facts about our school with the public we serve. It is our goal to present a clear picture of our students' academic achievements as well as the facts about our teachers and support staff who help make learning possible. In this report, you will find information about our teachers, students, test scores, resources, and finances. Many qualities contribute to making a public school successful, and no single report can fully describe them all. We've done our best to present a wide range of facts, and, when possible, we feature this data alongside data for other schools at the same grade level in the district and state. We believe that viewing the facts about our school compared to other schools in the district and state provides the most insight.

To provide insight into our school's learning environment, we share facts about our students, and the education and experience level of our teachers. You'll also find estimates of how well prepared our teachers are. At the end of the report, we shed some light on our district's spending—including how much we spend per student compared to other districts like ours in the state. Open communication between our school and our public is something we value highly, and it's a responsibility we take seriously. We hope this report will generate a dialogue between members of our community and school leadership. We invite you to join us in this discussion. To get involved at our school, please contact our school office.

Katia Hazen Principal

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at (510) 644-6310 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level				
Grade Level Number of Students				
Kindergarten	75			
Grade 1	69			
Grade 2	83			
Grade 3	86			
Grade 4	69			
Grade 5 86				
Total Enrollment	468			

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group				
Group Percent of Total Enrollme				
Black or African American	15.4			
Asian	7.7			
Filipino	1.1			
Hispanic or Latino	12.4			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4			
White	49.8			
Two or More Races	12.4			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	36.5			
English Learners	10			
Students with Disabilities	7.5			

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials						
Washington Elementary School	13-14	14-15	15-16			
With Full Credential	22	26	26			
Without Full Credential	0	0	0			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence						
Berkeley Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16			
With Full Credential	*	+				
Without Full Credential	+	+				
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	+	+				

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School						
Washington Elementary School	13-14	14-15	15-16			
Teachers of English Learners						
Total Teacher Misassignments						
Vacant Teacher Positions						

[&]quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers						
Location of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers Qualified Teachers						
This School	100.0	0.0				
Districtwide						
All Schools	100.0	0.0				
High-Poverty Schools	100.0	0.0				
Low-Poverty Schools	100.0	0.0				

^{*} High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Williams legislation calls for the schools to have enough books in core classes for all students and asks districts to reveal whether those books are presenting information outlined in the California content standards. Textbook materials are adopted by the school board following a rotating adoption cycle for subject specific state adopted textbooks and instructional materials.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: January 2016						
Core Curriculum Area	Core Curriculum Area Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption					
Reading/Language Arts	Teachers College Reading and Writing Project Adopted 201 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	1 & Lesson Study by Lucy Caulkins Adopted 2014 Yes 0%				
Mathematics	A Story of Units (eureka Math) Pilot 2013-14 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	No 0%				
Science	Full Option Science System (FOSS) (Foss Delta Education) Adopted in 2007					
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%				
History-Social Science	History Social Science for California (Scott Foresman) Adopted in 2006					
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%				

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The district administers a scheduled maintenance program to ensure that all classrooms and facilities are well maintained and in good repair. A work order process alerts district personnel of any maintenance needed to be performed and ensures that emergencies are given the highest priority.

Safety issues are the top priority for Maintenance and Operations. District maintenance supervisors inspect school sites continually and complete repairs in a timely manner. They use a work order process to ensure an efficient, prioritized repair process. The district maintenance staff has indicated that 100% of all toilets on school grounds are in working order.

To determine the condition of our facilities, our district sent experts from our facilities team to perform an inspection using a survey called the Facilities Inspection Tool, which is issued by the Office of Public School Construction.

Based on that survey, we've answered the questions you see on this report. Please note that the information reflects the condition of our buildings as of the date of the report. Since that time, those conditions may have changed.

Date of Last Inspection: January 2016

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: January, 2016					
System Inspected		Repair Status		Repair Needed and	
System inspected	Good	Good Fair Poor		Action Taken or Planned	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х			No apparent problems	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х			No apparent problems	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х			No apparent problems	
Electrical: Electrical	Х			No apparent problems	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х			No apparent problems	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х			No apparent problems	

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: January, 2016					
System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Good	Fa	air	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х				Six old portable buidings were replaced with new ones in summer of 2014
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	x s				A grounds improvement project is scheduled for summer of 2016
Overall Rating	Exemplary	ry Good Fair		Poor	No apparent problems
		Х			

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students					
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)				
School		District	State		
ELA	63	57	44		
	63		33		

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School			School District			State		
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
Science	63	69	76	65	66	66	59	60	56

^{*} Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade	2014-15 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level	4 of 6 5 of 6 6 of 6					
5	5.90	20.00	62.40			

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2014-15 CAASPP Results by Student Group					
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced				
	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				
All Students in the LEA	66				
All Student at the School	76				
Male	82				
Female	71				
Black or African American	40				
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino					
White	95				
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged					
English Learners					
Students with Disabilities	46				
Foster Youth					

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

All Students Male Female Black or African American	Grade 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5	Number of Enrolled 89 71 87	82 70 83 42 42 42	92.1 98.6 95.4 47.2 59.2 48.3	Pe Standard Not Met 20 21 17 17 31	Standard Nearly Met 22 16 13 24 14	Standard Met 16 20 25	Standard Exceeded 41 43 43
All Students Male Female Black or African American	3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5	89 71	82 70 83 42 42 42 40	92.1 98.6 95.4 47.2 59.2	20 21 17	22 16 13 24	Met 16 20 25	41 43 43
Male Female Black or African American	4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5	71	70 83 42 42 42 40	98.6 95.4 47.2 59.2	21 17 17	16 13 24	20 25 12	43 43
Male Female Black or African American	5 3 4 5 3 4 5		42 42 42 40	95.4 47.2 59.2	17 17	13	25 12	43
Female Black or African American	3 4 5 3 4 5	87	42 42 42 40	47.2 59.2	17	24	12	
Female Black or African American	4 5 3 4 5		42 42 40	59.2				45
Female Black or African American	5 3 4 5		42		31	14		
Female Black or African American	3 4 5		40	48.3			19	36
Black or African American	4 5 3				19	10	26	45
Black or African American	3			44.9	23	20	20	38
Black or African American	3		28	39.4	7	18	21	54
			41	47.1	15	17	24	41
	4		15	16.9	47	33	13	0
	7		10	14.1				
	5		18	20.7	44	22	33	0
Asian	3		8	9.0				
	4		6	8.5				
	5		7	8.0				
Filipino	3		2	2.2				
	4		1	1.4				
	5		1	1.1				
Hispanic or Latino	3		9	10.1				
	4		9	12.7				
	5		7	8.0				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3		1	1.1				
	5		1	1.1				
White	3		34	38.2	6	12	15	68
	4		34	47.9	15	9	15	62
	5		40	46.0	3	5	15	78
Two or More Races	3		13	14.6	15	23	15	46
	4		10	14.1				
	5		8	9.2				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		28	31.5	46	36	11	7
	4		28	39.4	43	29	21	7
	5		34	39.1	32	21	35	12
English Learners	3		4	4.5				
	4		8	11.3				
			4	4.6				

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Number of Students Percent of Students Student Group** Grade **Standard Not** Standard Standard Standard Tested **Enrolled Tested** Met **Nearly Met** Met Exceeded **Students with Disabilities** 3 8 9.0 4 10 14.1 5 12 13.8 58 17 8 17 **Foster Youth** 3 4 5

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven									
		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students					
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded	
All Students	3	89	83	93.3	20	16	28	36	
	4	71	69	97.2	10	25	22	42	
	5	87	85	97.7	18	20	14	48	
Male	3		43	48.3	16	14	23	47	
	4		42	59.2	12	26	17	45	
	5		43	49.4	16	19	12	53	
Female	3		40	44.9	25	18	33	25	
	4		27	38.0	7	22	30	37	
	5		42	48.3	19	21	17	43	
Black or African American	3		15	16.9	73	7	20	0	
	4		10	14.1					
	5		18	20.7	44	44	11	0	
Asian	3		8	9.0					
	4		6	8.5					
	5		8	9.2					
Filipino	3		2	2.2					
	4		1	1.4					
	5		1	1.1					
Hispanic or Latino	3		9	10.1					
	4		9	12.7					
	5		7	8.0					
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3		1	1.1					
	5		1	1.1					

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Number of Students Percent of Students Student Group** Grade Standard Not Standard Standard Standard **Enrolled Tested** Tested Met **Nearly Met** Met Exceeded White 3 35 3 14 29 39.3 54 4 33 46.5 3 15 27 55 5 47.1 2 7 10 80 41 **Two or More Races** 3 13 14.6 8 15 31 46 4 10 14.1 5 9.2 8 Socioeconomically Disadvantaged 3 28 31.5 50 21 18 11 4 28 39.4 18 54 14 11 5 36 41.4 39 36 8 17 **English Learners** 3 4 4.5 4 8 11.3 5 6 6.9 Students with Disabilities 3 8 9.0 10 4 14.1 5 12 13.8 58 17 8 17 **Foster Youth** 3 4

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

5

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parents are welcome to assist teachers in the classroom, events and field trips. They also participate on our School Governance Council (SGC) and English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC). Our active PTA holds community-building events, like the Spring Fair and silent auction, raising money to support our school's field trips, resources, and educational equity efforts. Our PTA committees, organize classroom parents and community project days. There is also a Green Team of parents and teachers concentrating on recycling. Kidz Club, our after school program, welcomes parent participation.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

• Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Berkeley's first Solar School, Washington Elementary was constructed in 1952, and has since received extensive remodeling and retrofitting. The campus covers two acres and consists of 17 permanent classrooms, three portables, a library, two staff rooms, a cafetorium, a playground, a science room, a cooking room, and music room. During the summer of 2008 efficient energy producing solar panels were installed on the roof of the main building. This provides up to 75% of Washington's electricity. The facility strongly supports teaching and learning through its ample classroom and playground space.

The safety of students and staff is our primary concern. A variety of people visit the campus to volunteer in the classroom and participate in school events. During lunch, recesses, and before-and-after school, staff members, administrators, and safety officers supervise students and school grounds to ensure an orderly, safe environment. The school is always fully compliant with all rules, laws, and regulations concerning state earthquake standards and hazardous materials. Earthquake and fire drill are held monthly, and intruder drills are held at least once a year.

Safety procedures, including elements of our Comprehensive Safe School Plan, are reviewed with school and district staff at the start of each school year. The plan was last updated and reviewed with school staff in spring 2015. It contains information for the health and safety of students and includes emergency plans and procedures, as well as contact information, goals, and student expectations.

Suspensions and Expulsions							
School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15				
Suspensions Rate	0.42	0.40	0.00				
Expulsions Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00				
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15				
Suspensions Rate	3.93	3.02	2.93				
Expulsions Rate	0.01	0.07	0.06				
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15				
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80				
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09				

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria									
AYP Criteria School District State									
English Language Arts									
Met Participation Rate Yes No Yes									
Met Percent Proficient	N/A N/A		N/A						
Mathe	Mathematics								
Met Participation Rate	Yes	No	Yes						
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A						
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No	Yes						
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes						

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program						
Indicator	District					
Program Improvement Status	In PI					
First Year of Program Improvement	2004-2005					
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3					
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impro	12					
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improv	ement	75.0				

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*								
	Average Cia	ass size			1-20		21-32			33+		
Grade	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
К	18	20	19	6	4	4		1				
1	21	19	17	2	4	4	2					
2	18	21	21	4	2	1		2	3			
3	19	18	22	2	4	1	2		3			
4	28	27	23				2	3	3			
5	26	66	33			6	2	2	3		1	1

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School						
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)						
Academic Counselor 0						
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0					
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0					
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.8					
Psychologist	.25					
Social Worker	0					
Nurse	0					
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1					
Resource Specialist	2					
Other	0					
Average Number of Students per Staff Mem	ber					
Academic Counselor						

^{*} One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries							
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category					
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,051	\$42,315					
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$67,093	\$66,451					
Highest Teacher Salary	\$83,252	\$85,603					
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$114,002	\$105,079					
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$120,737	\$111,005					
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$145,832	\$121,310					
Superintendent Salary	\$229,500	\$189,899					
Percent of District Budget							
Teacher Salaries	36%	39%					
Administrative Salaries	6%	6%					

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

Administrators and teachers take time each year to improve their teaching skills and to extend their knowledge of the subjects they teach. During the last three school-years, each school in the Berkeley Unified School District dedicated 3 days annually for teacher and administrator professional development. During 2014-15, 100% of all Berkeley K-5 teachers and site administrators who had not completed this training in 2014 participated in four-days of Common Core State Standards Professional Development for their K-5 classrooms. A site literacy coach provides ongoing support for teachers to improve literacy instruction to students. A math teacher-leader at the site participates in monthly District training's, and supports math professional development and collaboration at the site. New teachers participate in a rigorous District BTSA program. Teacher-initiated professional development is supported through local funds earmarked for this purpose.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries								
Laural	Average							
Level	Total	Teacher Salary						
School Site	\$9,381	\$3,068	\$6,313	\$62,595				
District	* *		\$5,852	\$70,036				
State	tate + +			\$69,257				
Percent Diffe	rence: School S	7.9	-8.2					
Percent Diffe	rence: School S	Site/ State	34.6	-7.6				

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

Types of Services Funded

Teachers have received grants from the Berkeley Public Education Foundation, In Dulce Jubilo and the Berkeley Schools Excellence Project. Grant money has been used to support school counselors, AmeriCorps volunteers, field trips, and much more.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.